Filed 7/3/19 The Next Idea (Internat.) LLC v. Rumba Room Live etc. CA2/8

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION EIGHT

THE NEXT IDEA (INTERNATIONAL) LLC

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

RUMBA ROOM LIVE ANAHEIM LLC,

Defendant and Appellant.

B287067

(Los Angeles County Super. Ct. No. LC104308)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, John J. Kralik, Judge. Affirmed.

Law Office of Michael J. Gonzalez and Michael Joseph Gonzalez for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

Rumba Room Live Anaheim LLC ("Rumba") hired The Next Idea (International) LLC ("Idea") to help create an entertainment venue, but Rumba eventually cancelled the project. Idea sued Rumba to resolve who owed what. A bench trial determined Rumba owed Idea \$13,904. Rumba appeals but has created a fatal problem for itself: it has not presented an adequate appellate record. Rumba must demonstrate error to rebut our presumption the judgment is correct. (Taylor v. Nu Digital Marketing, Inc. (2016) 245 Cal.App.4th 283, 287–288.) Rumba claims Idea could not sue because its corporate status was suspended and it is not the real party in interest. But Rumba fails to give us the record of the trial court's decision. We have neither a reporter's transcript nor a pertinent decision by the trial court. Rumba does give us a minute order, but this order makes no mention of the decision Rumba attacks. We cannot reverse something Rumba has never allowed us to perceive. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.120(b).)

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

WILEY, J.

WE CONCUR:

BIGELOW, P. J.

GRIMES, J.